Electoral College's Duties and Purpose per Constitution

by Mountain Publius Goat on Sat Nov 08, 2008 2:35 pm

Hi,

How many in the USA and/or the news media of our country have read the Constitution, and the constitution's "handbook and study guide" as to why the Constitution was written the way it was, i.e., "The Federalist Papers"? I have. I never went to Harvard, but I see some constitutional issues with the current President-Elect being certified as "qualified" by the Electoral College as required by the original Constitution and subsequent amendments, such as the 20th Amendment to the Constitution, if the Electors uphold the Constitution. They take an oath to do so. But will they have the political courage to do so.

The Constitution says the "Electors" from the states must certify to the Congress that the President-Elect is "qualified" per the very few but very specific qualifications "spelled out in the Constitution". One of those qualifications is that the person be a "natural born citizen".

See what "The Federalist Papers" written by our founding fathers say about that process and WHY the Electors and Electoral College process was created in the first place in electing a President, i.e., to insure that only a fully qualified person per the Constitutionally stated qualifications was ever ratified and seated as President of the USA, if at some time in the future the popular vote would decide to elect a non-qualified person.

See section 3 of the 20th Amendment to our Constitution, especially the words "... if the President elect shall have failed to qualify ..." . Pursuant to the authority conferred upon it (Congress) by Sec. 3 of this amendment, Congress shaped the Presidential Succession Act of 1948 5 to meet the situation which would arise from the failure of both President elect and Vice President elect to qualify on or before the time fixed for the beginning of the new Presidential term. The key point is that the "President elect" must prove to the Electoral College, if challenged therein, that he is qualified under the Constitution to take office.

http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/data/constitution/amendment20/

http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/data/constitution/article02/

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Twelfth Amendment to the United States Constitution http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Twentieth Amendment to the United States Constitution

Search inside "The Federalist Papers" book using the term "Electoral College", then "Election of President", then the term "Electors" and read what our country's framers and founders wrote as to why the Electors and Electoral College were put in the Constitutional process of electing and qualifying the President. You may have to buy the book to read all the pages in detail. But it only costs about \$8.00 and it is well worth owning and reading. And it also includes a copy of the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence.

http://www.amazon.com/gp/reader/0451528816/ref=sib_dp_ptu#reader-link

A more recent overview discussion as to why the framers of our Constitution decided to have Electors and an Electoral College in the first place instead of direct election of the President by the people of the United States. Our country is a republic made up of the united states, not a pure democracy. Few people understand that. http://www.usconstitution.net/consttop_elec.html

One of the Constitutional qualifications to be sworn in as the President is that he be a "natural born citizen". And that should be proven beyond any reasonable doubt if the President is to meet the qualifications fo the Constitution, if the overwhelming and vast majority of the people are to accept him as duly qualified and elected. Which most of us would be willing to accept even if we don't like his policies, if he proves that he is fully qualified per the constitutionally mandated qualifications.

Barack Obama has not proven with any great degree of certainty, nor has he provided concrete witness based evidence contemporaneous with his birth, that he is a "natural born citizen" of the USA. And to the contrary, statements have been made in Kenya by Obama's relatives living there that he was born in Kenya while his mother was visiting his father there, and that his mother quickly returned to Hawaii shortly after his birth to register him as being born in Hawaii instead of Kenya to insure he would be considered an American citizen as he grew up. His being an American citizen was important to her despite her political disputes with the American system. She would have perpetrated this possible fraud to assure her son had American citizenship. She of course never knew when she did this that he would grow up some day and run for President. A citizen he may be under Hawaii law, but a "natural born citizen" of the USA under constitutional law is another matter. Only a certified to be a true and correct "vault copy" of his original birth certificate, which shows and lists traceable witnesses and facts to his live birth in Hawaii, will prove to the American people that he was born in Hawaii. And Barack Obama has not provided that despite being asked repeatedly to provide it! See this website for more details on what he has provided and what he has not provided.

Website on what Obama has proffered as evidence and what he has not provided: http://www.peoplespassions.org/peoplesvoice/Birth_Certificate.htm
Youtube video on what Obama has proffered as evidence and what he has not provided:

The birth announcement placed in the local community newspaper is also false: http://countryfirst.bravehost.com/phpBB3/viewtopic.php?f=39&t=249&p=612#p612

Why does he obfuscate and delay on this simple request? That question as to why Obama has been delaying and obfuscating on this request for so long should make the Electors dig even deeper on the question of his constitutional qualifications to be the President of the USA and examine any evidence put forward in the future very, very carefully to be sure that it is genuine. The Electors are sworn to follow the Constitution. Let us hope they do and demand proof beyond any reasonable doubt as our country's framers intended the Electors to perform their duty.

Please feel free to pass along this posting to anyone and everyone and/or blogs who needs to be informed. The founding fathers anticipated this possible day when the people may for whatever reasons elect a non-qualified person. Our Constitution will now really be tested. Is it really the governing law of our country or is it no longer worth the paper it is written on? Will the Supreme Court act or will it "punt" on this one for fear of civil disorder if they enforce the constitution? Will any Electors stand up and demand that Obama provide a certified "vault copy" of his birth certificate from the Hawaii archives showing witnesses that he indeed was naturally born in Hawaii, if such as document and evidence does exist? Obama should provide it if it exists. Will the Supreme Court order investigations in Kenya about the statements made by Obama's paternal grandmother there that Obama was born in Kenya? We are about to find out what our country really is. Is it a Constitutional Republic democracy and is its Constitution the supreme law of the land or not?

U.S. Supreme Court Docket Number. Obama has until 1 Dec 2008 to respond: http://search.access.gpo.gov/supreme-court/SearchRight.asp?ct=Supreme-Court-Dockets&q1=Philip+J.+Berg&x=32&y=29

"We the people ..." demand that the Electors do their duty. Let our voices, the voices of the people, be heard on this issue!

Pass this message on to the news media and/or anyone else or blog you feel it has merits to be sent to.

Goat

"The American people will never knowingly adopt Socialism. But under the name of liberalism they will adopt every fragment of the Socialist program, until one day America will be a Socialist nation without knowing how it happened." Norman Thomas



Mountain Publius Goat

Posts: 898

Joined: Fri Nov 07, 2008 12:56 am

Location: The Mountains of Pennsylvania

Private message

• E-mail